Application No.

Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	10//12,988	KOCAREV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuong D. Ngo	2193	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Examiner: Chuong D. Ngo.	(3)		
(2) Applicant's representative: Kevin D. Jablonski.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 July 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-27</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner confirmed that the Office action date 05/78/2008 was non final Further, possible amendments to the claims have been discussed. However, no agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			